Progress on quantum transport simulation using empirical pseudopotentials

Jingtian Fang*, William Vandenberghe, and Massimo Fischetti

The University of Texas at Dallas, 800 W. Campbell Road, Richardson, Texas 75080 USA

*Email: jingtian.fang@utdallas.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

After performing one-dimensional simulation of electron transport in narrow quantum wires without gate control in Ref [1], [2] using the open boundary-conditions full-band plane-wave transport formalism derived in Ref [3], we now extend the work to simulate three-dimensionally field-effect transistors (FETs) with a gate bias applied and obtain their transport characteristics. We optimize multiple procedures for solving the quantum transport equation (QTE), such as using a selected eigenvalue solver, the fast Fourier transform (FFT), block assignment of matrices, a sparse matrix solver, and parallel computing techniques. With an expanded computing capability, we are able to simulate the transistors in the sub-1 nm technology node as suggested by the ITRS [4], which features ~ 5 nm physical gate length, ~ 2 nm body thickness, \sim 0.4 nm effective oxide thickness (EOT), \sim 0.6 V power supply voltage, and a multi-gate structure. Here we simulate an armchair graphene nanoribbon (aGNR) FET using a gateall-around architecture and obtain its transport properties. We will discuss the numerics concerning the matrix size of the transport equation, memory consumption, and simulation time.

II. THEORY

As shown in Ref. [2], the Schrödinger equation describing the electronic band structure in one-dimensional (1D) nanostructures in the (x-z) plane, surrounded by a large vacuum padding in the (x-y) plane to decouple the structures, using the empirical pseudopotential method is

$$\sum_{\mathbf{G}'} \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|^2 \delta_{\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{G}} + V_{\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{G}}^{lat} \right) u_{\mathbf{G}'}^{k_z} = E_{k_z} u_{\mathbf{G}}^{k_z}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, k_z)$ is the wavevector along the axial zdirection. This constitutes an eigenvalue problem of rank given by the number of reciprocal-space vectors $N_{\mathbf{G}}$ within the energy-cutoff sphere of radius E_{cut} . The QTE describing the 1D electron transport along the z direction is a linear system of the form

$$(\mathbf{H} - E\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{L}} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{R}})\boldsymbol{\phi} = [\mathbf{RHS}]_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{inj}} + [\mathbf{RHS}]_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{inj}},$$
 (2)

where **H** is the Hamiltonian for the closed system, *E* is the injection energy of electrons, **I** is the identity matrix, $\Sigma_{\rm L}$ and $\Sigma_{\rm R}$ are the self-energies of the contacts, ϕ is the envelope wavefunction, and $[{\rm RHS}]_{\rm L}^{\rm inj}$ and $[{\rm RHS}]_{\rm R}^{\rm inj}$ are the terms representing the amplitudes of the waves injected from the contacts (self-energies). Using finite difference (FD) and discretizing a device into N_z slices along the transport direction with a step-size Δ , the Hamiltonian **H** takes a block tridiagonal form

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \mathbf{T}^{-} & \mathbf{D}^{i-1} & \mathbf{T}^{+} & 0 & 0 & \cdot \\ \cdot & 0 & \mathbf{T}^{-} & \mathbf{D}^{i} & \mathbf{T}^{+} & 0 & \cdot \\ \cdot & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{T}^{-} & \mathbf{D}^{i+1} & \mathbf{T}^{+} & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where the operator \mathbf{D}^i is a dense matrix of rank $N_{\mathbf{G}}$ that includes the lattice and external potential (gate and source/drain bias) in the i-th slice, and the Hermitian operators T^+ and $T^$ are diagonal matrices also of rank $N_{\mathbf{G}}$. Therefore, the rank of H is $N_{\mathbf{G}} \times N_z$. The self-energy matrix Σ_{L} is added to \mathbf{D}^1 and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is added to \mathbf{D}^{N_z} . Solving Eq. (2) and Poisson's equation self-consistently for different drain-source bias and gate bias, the current-voltage characteristics of the device can be obtained. Since we have not yet implemented in our computer program the capability to account for bound and quasibound states, we are unable to perform full self-consistent calculations. Therefore, for now we solve Eq. (2) with an external potential obtained from a self-consistent calculation within a simple semiclassical drift-diffusion approximation. This is performed by solving the two-dimensional Schrödinger equation in all slices, calculating the charge density using local quasi-Fermi level, and then solving Poisson's equation to update the potential. An approximate device current is calculated from the obtained envelope wavefunctions ϕ .

III. SIMULATIONS AND RESULTS

An atomistic model of an aGNR with six dimer lines along the width direction x is shown in Fig. 1a and a device model of 6-aGNRFET is shown in Fig. 1b. The channel has p^+ doping and the source and drain contact have n^+ doping. The discretization is shown in Fig. 1c and 1d. The band structure of the 6-aGNR is calculated by solving Eq. (1) and shown in Fig. 2. The self-consistent external potential and charge distribution within the aforementioned semiclassical drift-diffusion simplification are shown in Fig. 3 and their distribution after non-equilibrium quantum transport simulation are shown in Fig. 4. The *I-V* characteristics are shown in Fig. 5. Some information about the numerical details of the calculations is shown in Table. I.



Fig. 1: Device model of 6-aGNRFET with an overall length $L=30a_3=12.78$ nm, a physical channel length $L_g=5.12$ nm, a body width W=0.62 nm, and an EOT=0.62 nm. Electron transport is along the z direction. The device is discretized along z into $N_z=121$ slices with $\Delta=a_3/4=0.11$ nm and discretized in the cross-sectional (x-y) plane using a finite element mesh. Notice that this particular triangular mesh is for FFT calculations.



Fig. 2: The electronic dispersion of the first six low-energy conduction bands (CBs) is shown in (a). Within 100 meV from the bottom of the CB, only one conducting channel is observed. The electron probability distribution $|\psi(\mathbf{r}_{||})|^2$ is shown in (b). This is obtained by averaging the square of the cross-sectional wavefunction over one unit cell along z. A selected eigenvalue solver from IBM ESSL package is used to calculate the bands in the interesting energy range, and FFT is used to calculate $|\psi(\mathbf{r}_{||})|^2$ from Eq. (1).

REFERENCES

- J. Fang, W. Vandenberghe, and M. Fischetti, "Full-band ballistic quantum transport in nanostructures using empirical pseudopotential," in *Computational Electronics (IWCE)*, 2014 International Workshop on. IEEE, 2014, pp. 1–3.
- [2] B. Fu and M. Fischetti, "Open boundary-condition ballistic quantum transport using empirical pseudopotentials," in *Computational Electronics* (*IWCE*), 2013 International Workshop on. IEEE, 2013, pp. 28–29.
- [3] B. Fu, "Quantum transport: from effective mass approximation to full band," Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, 2013.
- [4] International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductor, "Process integration, devices, and structures," [Online], 2013.



(b) Charge distribution

Fig. 3: Approximate potential and charge distribution, averaged along y, in the (x-z) plane after self-consistent calculation with the semiclassical assumption for a 6-aGNRFET with a drain-source bias V_{DS} =0.4 V and a gate bias V_{GS} =0.2 V.



(b) Charge distribution

Fig. 4: Potential and charge distribution, averaged along y, in the (x-z) plane from Eq. (2) for a 6-aGNRFET. Quasi-bound states can be observed in the region close to the drain contact. Note that variation of the electron density corresponds to the location of individual atoms.



Fig. 5: Current-voltage characteristics of a 6-aGNRFET.

TABLE I: Rank of the matrices, simulation time, and memory consumption when simulating a 6-aGRNFET

$N_{\mathbf{G}}$	t (s, Eq. (1))	t (h)	LDM	t (h)	Memory (GB)
701	10	2.5 ^a	84821	0.2 ^b	5.0 ^c

- ^a Simulation time required to obtain the starting potential selfconsistently for each applied bias.
- ^b Simulation time required to solve Eq. (2) for a single injection energy *E*. MPI is used to solve Eq. (2) in parallel for all the injection energies.
- ^c Peak memory required to solve Eq. (2). A sparse matrix solver UMFPACK is used to save memory.