Impact of surrounding force on the performance of vertical-stacked (110) Ge-MC-NWFETs

Jingjie Zhang^{1,2}, Jieyu Qin^{1,2}, Xiaoyan Liu^{2,*}, Gang Du²

¹Shenzhen Graduate School, Peking University, Guangdong 518055, China

²Institute of Microelectronics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

*E-mail: xiaoyanliu@ime.pku.edu.cn

INTRODUCTION: 3D stacked Gate-all-around (GAA) NWFETs have been proposed as a potential alternative to planar MOSFETs as the device dimensions shrink for excellent electrostatic control and high robustness and layout compactness [1]. Meanwhile, Germanium NWFETs and Ge/Si core/shell heterostructure NWFETs are considered to have high transport performance for the important higher mobility [6]. In order to demonstrate the strain effect on the cross-sectional distribution of the spatial resolved mobility of 3D-vertical-stacked Ge Multi-Channel NWFETs which have been rarely studied, we built a finite element solver based on continuum elastic model [3] to calculate the strain distributions caused by various strength of surrounding force under different surrounding conditions. A significant difference of hole concentration distributions under anisotropic material Silicon surrounding and isotropic material HfO 2 gate insulator is observed.

SIMULATION METHOD AND RESULTS: Based on the classic elastic theory, the strain tensors are calculated by minimizing the total elastic strain energy U [3], [4]:

$$\begin{split} U_{total} &= U_{Ge} + U_{H} - \int_{L} F_{\mu} dl \\ U_{Ge} &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{Ge} ds \{ D_{1}(\varepsilon_{xx}^{2} + \varepsilon_{yy}^{2}) + D_{2}(\frac{a_{z}}{a_{Ge}} - 1)^{2} \\ + D_{3}\varepsilon_{xx}\varepsilon_{yy} + D_{4}(\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy})(\frac{a_{z}}{a_{Ge}} - 1) \\ + D_{3}\varepsilon_{xx}^{2} + D_{6}(\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy})(\varepsilon_{xy}^{2} - 1) \\ + D_{3}\varepsilon_{xx}^{2} + D_{6}(\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy})\varepsilon_{xy} \} \\ U_{H-Si} &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{Si} ds \{ D_{1}^{H-Si}(\varepsilon_{xx}^{2} + \varepsilon_{yy}^{2}) + D_{2}^{H-Si}(\frac{a_{z}}{a_{Si}} - 1)^{2} \\ + D_{3}^{H-Si}\varepsilon_{xx}\varepsilon_{yy} + D_{4}^{H-Si}(\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy})(\frac{a_{z}}{a_{Si}} - 1) \\ + D_{5}^{H-Si}\varepsilon_{xy}^{2} + D_{6}^{H-Si}(\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy})\varepsilon_{xy} \} \\ U_{H-HiO_{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{H} ds \{ D_{1}^{H-HiO_{2}}(\varepsilon_{xx}^{2} + \varepsilon_{yy}^{2}) + D_{2}^{H-HiO_{2}}\varepsilon_{xy}^{2} \} \\ + D_{3}^{H-HiO_{2}}\varepsilon_{xx}\varepsilon_{yy}^{4} + D_{4}^{H-HiO_{2}}(\varepsilon_{xx}^{4} + \varepsilon_{yy})\varepsilon_{xy} \} \end{split}$$

D is elastic modulus for all materials which should be modified for Germanium and Silicon material for different orientations. Fig.4 gives the modified modulus. The band structures are calculated by using 6×6 Bir-Pikus k • p Hamiltonian [5].

Fig.1 (c1), (d1) show the strain energy distribution with HfO_2 as surrounding material when the force is 1Gpa while Fig.1(c2), (d2) show that with Silicon as surrounding condition. When the same force is introduced, the Ge/Si heterostructure is much more influenced and the strain energy is larger in the shell

while the strain energy is larger in the core under HfO₂ circumstance. Both cases are symmetric along xy direction despite a little difference between middle and boundary. The HfO₂ have a more obvious difference. Fig. 2 gives the strain tensors of HfO2 and Ge/Si conditions, strains E_{xx} , E_{yy} are compressive and strains E_{xy} are tensile. All the strains have xy symmetry. Strains along 45° are larger while the strains along 135° are smaller than average value. The strain tensors \mathcal{E}_{xx} and \mathcal{E}_{vv} are larger under Ge/Si condition than HfO₂ condition while the E_{xy} is larger for HfO₂. Fig. 3 shows valence band of both cases. Similar to previous work [2], the energy is raised especially for the valence band states v_1 which is very important for the valence band edge. The Ge/Si heterostructue changes the band more than HfO₂ case. Fig. 4 shows the cross-section hole distribution when various force strength are applied, the 45° direction has the largest hole concentration and the asymmetry between the boundary (y < 5) and the middle (y > 5) has a result in the asymmetry of hole population which is also larger near the boundary. The asymmetry is obvious under HfO₂ condition than Ge/Si heterostructure because of the isotropic property of HfO2 material and the anisotropic property of both Germanium and Silicon materials. Ge/Si heterostructure have larger concentration than HfO₂ insulator according to the band structure.

CONCLUSION: The simulation shows the asymmetry hole density vertically when surrounding force is applied and shall surely have an influence on the spatial mobility. Ge/Si heterostruture weakens the asymmetry impact compared with HfO₂ surrounding and have much larger band influence. This work demonstrates the advantage of Ge/Si heterostruture and gives guide for future analysis and 3D device design.

References:

- F.G.Ruiz et al, simulation of the electrostatic and transport properties of 3D-stacked GAA silicon nanowire FETs, Solid-State Electron. 59, 62 (2011).
- [2] Raul W. Leu et al, Ab initio calculation of the mechanical and electronic properties of strained Si nanowires, Phys. Rev. B. 77, 235305 (2008).
- [3]B. Jogai, Three-dimensional strain field calculations in coupled InAs/GaAs quantum dots, J. Appl. Phys. **88**, 5050 (2000).
- [4] Honghua Xu et al, Influence of boundary force on the performance of gate-all-around Ge(110) NW FETs with HfO2 gate insulator, INEC 2010, p179.
- [5] Bir G, Pikus G, Symmetry and strain induced effects in semiconductors, Wiley, New York, 2004.
- [6] Liang G et al, Performance analysis of a Ge/Si core/shell nanowire field-effect trainsistor, Nano Lett. 7, 642 (2007).





Fig.1 (a) Schematic view of 3D structure of the rectangle cross sectional shaped MC-NWFETs: the transport direction is (110). (b) The modulus been used. (c)3D and (d) cross sectional strain energy distribution for the two structures. (1) is HfO₂ surrounding and (2) is Ge/Si heterostructure case.







Fig.3 Valence band of (a) Ge/Si heterostruture and (b) HfO₂ gate insulator.

Fig.4 Hole density spatial distribution. The surrounding force is set to be 0.1Gpa, 0.5Gpa and 1.0Gpa. $V_G = 0V$.