

# Comparing Models of Many Electron Quantum Dynamics

M. Lohwasser and N. J. Mauser\*  
 Wolfgang Pauli Institut c/o Fak. f. Math., Univ. Wien,  
 Nordbergstraße 15, 1090 Wien, Austria

e mail: michael.lohwasser@univie.ac.at  
 e mail: mauser@courant.nyu.edu

## INTRODUCTION

We are concerned with approximations of the many body problem of nonrelativistic quantum dynamics of  $N$  interacting electrons. One would ideally like to solve the  $N$  body time dependent linear Schrödinger equation (TDLSE) with Coulomb potential and a time dependent external potential  $V(\mathbf{r}_j, t)$  which reads,

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi = \sum_{j=1}^N \left( -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{r}_j}\Psi + V(\mathbf{r}_j, t)\Psi \right) \quad (1)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k|} \Psi$$

in atomic units. Here  $\mathbf{r}_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$  denotes the position coordinates of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  particle. Although (1) is a linear PDE, it scales quite unfavourable with increasing number of particles which makes it practically impossible to compute solutions directly for systems with more than a few electrons.

To avoid this problem, often coupled systems of nonlinear Schrödinger equations for several single electron “orbitals” are used in practice. One way to obtain such simplified models from (1) is by “variational approximation”. This technique produces the time dependent Hartree Fock (TDHF) or *multiconfiguration* time dependent Hartree Fock (MCTDHF) systems and a large variety of other possibilities [9]. A different approach is time dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) which produces the time dependent Kohn Sham system [10] and [1].

In this work we will focus on models derived by the “variational approximation” approach namely the TDHF and MCTDHF system.

## THE MCTDHF EQUATIONS

To illustrate the method we consider the 2 particle MCTDHF ansatz

$$\Psi(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk} \phi_j(x_1) \phi_k(x_2), \quad (2)$$

where  $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n\}$  is an orthonormal set of  $n \geq 2$  orbitals. The coefficients satisfy  $a_{kj} = -a_{jk}$  so that  $\Psi$  is antisymmetric, thus obeying Pauli’s exclusion principle, and  $\sum |a_{jk}|^2 = 1$  to ensure  $\|\Psi\| = 1$ .

The Dirac Frenkel variational principle yields a system of ordinary differential equations for the coefficients  $a_{jk}$ , coupled to a system of partial differential equations for the orbitals  $\phi_i$  which reads in vector notation for  $\vec{\phi} = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)^T$

$$\frac{d}{dt} a_{jk} = -i \langle \phi_j(x_1) \phi_k(x_2), V \Psi(x_1, x_2) \rangle \quad \forall j, k \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \vec{\phi} = \frac{i}{2} (\Delta \otimes I_n) \vec{\phi} - i((I - P) \otimes \Gamma^{-1} \bar{A}) V_{\vec{\phi}}(\Psi) . \quad (4)$$

Here  $A$  is the antisymmetric coefficient matrix and  $\Gamma = \bar{A} A^T$ .  $P$  is the projection onto the subspace spanned by the orbitals  $\phi_i$ ,  $V_{\vec{\phi}}(\Psi)$  denotes the vector  $(V_{\phi_1}(\Psi), \dots, V_{\phi_n}(\Psi))^T$ , where  $V_{\phi_j}(\Psi)$  is defined by

$$\langle \xi, V_{\phi_j}(\Psi) \rangle = \int \overline{\xi(x_1) \phi_j(x_2)} V(x_1, x_2) \Psi(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## OUR GOALS AND (NUMERICAL) METHODS

We want to contribute to a better understanding of the derivation of these models (cf. [5], [3] and to to understand their interrelationships as part of a model hierarchy.

We carry out numerical tests to study systematically how the (MC)TDHF models perform in the context of computationally feasible “toy” problems, compared to the linear  $N$  particle Schrödinger equation.

It is basically impossible to estimate “a priori” which of the models in the hierarchy is “better” for the calculation of a certain quantity – for example it is well known that sometimes the simple Hartree approximation gives better results than the more sophisticated Hartree Fock equation, especially when “correlation” effects play a role that are ignored by definition in the TDHF method. (cf e.g. [3] and [8]).

Clearly, MCTDHF is the canonical way to improve the TDHF method and should, with increasing number of configurations, converge to the solutions of the  $N$  body TDSE.

However, the proof of convergence of solutions of MCTDHF to the solutions of the time dependent  $N$  body SE (in some appropriately defined trace norm, cf. [5], [3] is still completely open. Let us remark that for MCTDHF all basic mathematical questions are open, starting with existence of unique solutions (cf. [4]) up to questions of how going beyond the Born Oppenheimer approximation of “static ions” (cf. e.g. [7]).

We state recent results of mathematically oriented papers in a way that is understandable also for physicists and (quantum) electrical engineers and we study numerically convergence of certain physically interesting quantities, like charge densities or “correlation” according to the new definition [8].

To calculate numerical solutions of the MCTDHF equations is not trivial, since it requires the solution of a nonlinear system of ODEs for the coefficients  $a_{jk}(t)$  coupled to the evolution equations for the “orbitals”  $\phi_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ .

In order to solve the MCTDHF equations (as well as the TDHF equations) we use a time splitting spectral scheme which was originally developed for the cubic NLS [2] and which has been proven to be a very efficient tool for very general classes of NLS (e.g. [1], [6]).

Using Born von Karman periodic boundary conditions on a sufficiently large domain of calculation fits well with the trigonometric spectral method for the free evolution that is split in time from the nonlinear potential part that yields an ODE that is solved by a fourth order Runge Kutta scheme.

We present simulations for a 2 electron system in 1 space dimension where all equations of the hierarchy, including the  $N$  body TDSE, can be solved relatively easily.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Austrian Ministry of Science (BM:BWK) via its grant for the Wolfgang Pauli Institute and by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF) via the Wissenschaftkolleg “Differential equations” (W17) and the START Project (Y 137 TEC) of N. Mauser, and also by the European network HYKE funded by the EC as contract HPRN CT 2002 00282.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bao, N.J. Mauser, H.P. Stimming, *Effective one particle quantum dynamics of electrons: a numerical study of the Schrödinger-Poisson- $X\alpha$  model*, Comm. Math. Sci., **1**(4), 809 (2003)
- [2] W. Bao, S. Jin, P.A. Markowich, *Numerical studies of time-splitting spectral discretisations of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the semiclassical regime*, SIAM J. Sci. Comp., **25**(1), 27 (2003)
- [3] C. Bardos, F. Golse, A. Gottlieb, N.J. Mauser, *Accuracy of the time-dependent Hartree-Fock approximation for uncorrelated initial states*, J. Stat. Phys. **115**(3/4), 1037-1055 (2004)
- [4] C. Bardos, I. Catto, A. Gottlieb, N.J. Mauser and T. Saber, manuscript
- [5] C. Bardos, L. Erdős, F. Golse, N.J. Mauser and H.-T. Yau, *Derivation of the Schrödinger-Poisson equation from the quantum  $N$ -particle Coulomb problem*, C. R. Acad. Sci., **t 334**(6) Série I Math., 515-520 (2002)
- [6] C. Besse, N.J. Mauser, and H. P. Stimming, *Numerical study of the Davey-Stewartson system*, M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **38**(6), 1035-1054 (2004)
- [7] E. Cancès and C. Le Bris, *On the time-dependent Hartree-Fock equations coupled with a classical nuclear dynamics*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **9**, 963-990 (1999).
- [8] A.D. Gottlieb and N.J. Mauser, *A new definition of correlation for electron systems*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **95**(12) (2005) 213-217
- [9] C. Lubich, *On variational approximations in quantum molecular dynamics*, Mathematics of Computation, **74**, 765-779 (2005)
- [10] E. Runge, E.K.U. Gross, *Density functional theory for time-dependent systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**(12), 997-1000 (1984)